

# Joint Use Agreement Review Communiqué #10 September 22, 2005

The Joint Use Agreement (JUA) partners (City of Edmonton, Edmonton Catholic School Board and Edmonton Public School Board) JUA Review Team held its tenth meeting on September 22, 2005 to continue discussions and designing of the new JUA agreement.

As planned, The City of Edmonton prepared a draft Public Consultation Process Outline and Discussion Guide and received feedback from all Partnering Organizations (JUA Review Team).

The JUA Review Team members clarified the goal of the consultation process, which is to obtain input of specific areas of focus relevant to the JUA. The JUA Review Team reviewed the two-phase process developed by Carol Watson (City of Edmonton), with some minor modifications and timeline adjustments. The discussion guide document prepared served as a very effective mechanisms from which to stimulate detailed discussions about the focus issues for the discussion guide. In reviewing the draft document presented by Carol Watson (City of Edmonton) it was determined that the JUA Review Team needs further discussion on the topic of Land, to clearly identify the areas of focus for public input in the discussion guide.

The JUA Review Team members also determined that the Discussion Guide will serve to educate the public on some aspects of the JUA by highlighting the current situation, specific parameters or stressors that are relevant to each situation, as well as being an effective guide in gathering public input.

The Review Team will continue to flush out the details of the Model for shared use once the public consultation process and guide has been completed.

The JUA Review Team has set dates for meetings up to June 2006 to complete aspects of the review, with consideration for the time needed for public consultation, and the work required to synthesize data, research, and analyze information in order to complete the recommendations for the new JUA.

Collectively the Review Team will provide regular updates on progress as the Partnering Organizations work through the review process to create a new JUA that is reflective of current needs for all partnering organizations.

1 February 2006

- Deleted: 4
- Deleted: 8
- Deleted: January
- Deleted: February
- Deleted: June 2005
- Deleted: 2...8 ... [1]
- Deleted: Released December ... [2]
- Deleted: December 2, 2004¶
- Deleted: negotiating
- Deleted: review...t ... [3]
- Deleted: its
- Deleted: eighth
- Deleted: ninth...second ... [4]
- Deleted: fourth
- Deleted: November 25, 2004
- Deleted: February 17
- Deleted: June 16
- Deleted: 8
- Deleted:
- Deleted: of the areas for focus ... [5]
- Deleted: In reviewing the draft ... [6]
- Deleted: There were two
- Deleted: Carole Watson
- Deleted: partner
- Deleted: presented
- Deleted: ations
- Deleted: an overview of a draft
- Deleted: The draft
- Deleted: p
- Deleted: P
- Deleted: c
- Deleted: Cprocess that Carol V ... [7]
- Deleted: discussion guide that ... [8]
- Deleted: The partners are also ... [9]
- Deleted: At the May 19, and ... [10]
- Deleted: A Model Compariso ... [11]
- Deleted:
- Deleted: at this meeting: on ... [12]
- Deleted: between November ... [13]
- Deleted: ¶ ... [14]
- Deleted: January
- Deleted: May 2005, the JUA ... [15]
- Deleted: partners
- Deleted: identified a Model ... [16]
- Deleted: reviewed the Joint ... [17]
- Deleted: ensure congruent ... [18]
- Deleted: ¶ ... [19]
- Deleted: In addition, t
- Deleted: The JUA partners ... [20]
- Deleted: origination's
- Deleted: values, strategic dir ... [21]
- Deleted: the other
- ... [22]
- ... [23]
- ... [24]
- ... [25]

Page 1: [1] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 1/23/2005 3:18:00 PM

2

Page 1: [1] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 9/22/2005 4:02:00 PM

8

Page 1: [2] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 1/30/2005 6:18:00 PM

**Released December 13, 2004 January 22/05**

Page 1: [3] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 6/18/2005 6:35:00 PM

review

Page 1: [3] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 6/18/2005 6:35:00 PM

t

Page 1: [4] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 9/22/2005 4:03:00 PM

ninth

Page 1: [4] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 1/23/2005 3:18:00 PM

second

Page 1: [5] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 9/22/2005 4:04:00 PM

of the areas for focus within PC

Page 1: [6] Deleted 9/22/2005 7:19:00 PM

In reviewing the draft document presented by Carol Watson (City of Edmonton) it was determined that the JUA Review Team requires further discussion on the topic of Land to clearly identify the areas of focus (consultation questions). The document served as a very effective mechanism from which to start discussions about the design of this Consultation Discussion Guide. The JUA Review Team members also determined that the Discussion Guide is also a very effective form of educating the public on various aspects of the JUA by highlighting the current situation or challenge, specific parameters or stressors that are relevant to each situation/challenge and the opportunity for the public to provide input.

Page 1: [7] Deleted 9/22/2005 7:18:00 PM

Cprocess that Carol Watson and some Review Team members presented at the September 8/05 meeting was reviewed again with some modifications made.

Page 1: [7] Deleted 9/22/2005 8:23:00 PM

ve

Page 1: [8] Deleted Monica Jenkyns 9/13/2005 1:37:00 PM

discussion guide that she and some Review Team members developed over the summer months. Partners discussed the tool and provided their feedback on focus for PC, the timelines, the organizations to be included, and determined what organization to start the PC process with. Carole Watson confirmed she would make suggested changes and present the more complete discussion guide tool for the JUA Partners to finalize at the coming September 22, 2005 meeting. Partners plan to start PC in early October with the sub committees and they will seek consultation on both relevant content to JUA and feedback on the guide for its overall effectiveness to make any final changes before consulting with the other relevant organizations.

Page 1: [9] Deleted 9/22/2005 7:19:00 PM

The partners are also exploring in more detail the participants that are to be involved in the process. The JUA Review Team has accepted the two-phase process and modified timelines.

**Page 1: [10] Deleted** **Monica Jenkyns** **9/22/2005 4:11:00 PM**

At the May 19, and June 16, 2005 meetings the Partners developed a Model that encompasses the principles and ideas put forward by the Partnering Organizations Review Team to date. Over the summer the Consultants and the Coordinator began the process of assimilating, synthesizing and compartmentalizing information from the meeting notes. A document relevant to considerations from discussions of issues and proposed ideas for a Model to date has been drafted from the meeting notes for the Review Teams' consideration in the new JUA. This document of compiled information will be updated as meetings and PC progresses and the Review Team continues to work on clarifying details for a potential draft model for the shared use. Once the Public Consultation process is complete (projected for Spring 2006) the model will be appropriately adapted to include PC findings.

**Page 1: [11] Deleted** **9/22/2005 7:26:00 PM**

A Model Comparison table highlighting the differences between the current JUA model and the Model A (developed in previous meetings and explained in Communiqué # 9 September 8/05) and Appendix E (

**Page 1: [11] Deleted** **9/22/2005 8:15:00 PM**

consolidated information pertaining to Model A discussions)

**Page 1: [12] Deleted** **9/13/2005 11:38:00 AM**

at this meeting: one presentation was from a sub committee working on describing the Model A principles/ideas and the second presented on the public consultative process was drafted and will be reviewed at future meeting.ss.

**Page 1: [13] Deleted** **9/22/2005 8:16:00 PM**

between November and

**Page 1: [13] Deleted** **9/22/2005 8:16:00 PM**

5

**Page 1: [13] Deleted** **9/22/2005 8:21:00 PM**

all

**Page 1: [13] Deleted** **9/22/2005 8:18:00 PM**

R

**Page 1: [13] Deleted** **9/22/2005 8:21:00 PM**

and synthesizing and analyzing of public consultation input.

**Page 1: [14] Deleted** **9/13/2005 12:53:00 PM**

At the previous meeting in

**Page 1: [15] Deleted** **9/13/2005 12:24:00 PM**

May 2005, the JUA Review Team

**Page 1: [16] Deleted** **9/13/2005 12:24:00 PM**

identified a Model to begin exploring as a potential Joint Use model. At the June 16, 2005 meeting, the JUA Review Team partners continued their discussions on this model arriving at a shared understanding that this model was representative of the discussions the partners have had to date (through the review process) and that the ideas

presented with the model will continue to be explored. The partners worked through some of the questions and definitions in this meeting and identified areas for further exploration at September's meeting. Further to this, the JUA Review Team also identified the key goals and objectives of the JUA.

<b>Page 1: [17] Deleted</b>	<b>Charmaine</b>	<b>6/18/2005 1:52:00 PM</b>
reviewed the Joint Use Agreement Document clause by clause to identify areas of the JUA that needed clarification, revision to address current demands, understanding, re-wording, or discussed to create something new; this information will be utilized in the future meetings as the various areas of the JUA are reviewed in detail, and as the JUA Review Team moves forward on any amendments or enhancements to the current agreement.		
<b>Page 1: [18] Deleted</b>	<b>rswilson</b>	<b>1/30/2005 5:46:00 PM</b>
ensure congruent understandings of the document and the details contained within.		
<b>Page 1: [19] Deleted</b>		<b>9/13/2005 12:24:00 PM</b>

<b>Page 1: [20] Deleted</b>	<b>Charmaine</b>	<b>6/18/2005 1:55:00 PM</b>
The JUA partners completed informal "presentations" of their respective organization's focussing on their		
<b>Page 1: [21] Deleted</b>	<b>Charmaine</b>	<b>6/18/2005 1:55:00 PM</b>
values, strategic directions, business plans and information that will be helpful for their		
<b>Page 1: [22] Deleted</b>	<b>Charmaine</b>	<b>6/18/2005 1:55:00 PM</b>
to know as it relates to the JUA. The JUA Review Team also explored some fundamental aspects of the JUA, the definitions and meanings on a broad level, with a focus on the issues and elements related to Reciprocal Use		
<b>Page 1: [23] Deleted</b>		<b>9/13/2005 12:24:00 PM</b>
The JUA Review Team also continued discussions on the public consultative process. The Partners agree that the consultations will occur in the October-November 2005 time frame and that the City of Edmonton will take the lead in this aspect of the JUA Review. A plan is being drafted for the consultation process and the seven key questions for considering consultation		
<b>Page 1: [24] Deleted</b>		<b>9/13/2005 12:24:00 PM</b>
were briefly explored by the Review Team and before the September 8/05 meeting the partners will further consider the 7 questions/responses to make decisions at the September 8/05 meeting about the consultation process.		
<b>Page 1: [25] Deleted</b>	<b>Monica Jenkyns</b>	<b>1/30/2005 6:31:00 PM</b>
The JUA partners established some criteria		